arXiv:1204.1805v1 [math.GR] 9 Apr 2012

BICROSSED DESCENT THEORY OF EXACT FACTORIZATIONS AND THE NUMBER OF TYPES OF GROUPS OF FINITE ORDER

A. L. AGORE AND G. MILITARU

Abstract. Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of a group G. A factorization A-form of G is a subgroup H of G such that $G = AH$ and $A \cap H = \{1\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}(A, G)$ be the category of all factorization A-forms of G and $\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A, G)$ its skeleton. The *bicrossed descent* problem asks for the description and classification of all factorization A-forms of G. We shall give the full answer to this problem in three steps. Let H be a given factorization A-form of G and $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ the canonical left/right actions associated to the factorization $G = AH$. In the first step H is deformed to a new A-form of G, denoted by H_r, using a certain map $r : H \to A$ called a descent map of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Then the description of all forms is given: $\mathbb{H}$ is an A-form of G if and only if $\mathbb{H}$ is isomorphic to H_r, for some descent map $r : H \to A$. Finally, the classification of forms proves that there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A, G)$ and a combinatorial object $\mathcal{D}\,(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))$. Let S_n be the symmetric group and C_n the cyclic group of order n. By applying the bicrossed descent theory for the factorization $S_n = S_{n-1}C_n$ we obtain the following: (1) any group H of order n is isomorphic to $(C_n)_r$, the r-deformation of the cyclic group C_n for some descent map $r : C_n \to S_{n-1}$ of the canonical matched pair $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and (2) the number of types of isomorphisms of all groups of order n is equal to $|\, \mathcal{D}(C_n, S_{n-1} \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))\,|$.

Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$ the number of types of isomorphisms of all groups of order n. The naive question *'what is the precise value of* $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$*?'*, that is valid for all positive integer n, is of course a hopeless question. A big part of the group theory developed in the last century was devoted to classifying finite groups (usually with some extra properties: simple, resoluble etc) in order to give an answer to the famous extension problem of Hölder [15] from 1895; in particular for determining the value of $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$, for a given n. Thus we are forced to take a step back and to formulate the following problem which is more likely to be solved: *can we provide an efficient formula for computing* $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$*, valid for all positive integer* n*?*

In this paper we indicate a purely combinatorial formula for $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$ which provides an effective way of computing this number starting with a minimal set of data. More precisely, we will prove that the formula for computing $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$ is uniquely determined by the exact factorization $S_n = S_{n-1}C_n$, where S_n is the symmetric group on n letters, S_{n-1}

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B05, 20B35, 20D06, 20D40.

Key words and phrases. Matched pairs, bicrossed product, the classification of finite groups.

A.L. Agore is research fellow "aspirant" of FWO-Vlaanderen. This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, grant no. 88/05.10.2011.

is viewed as a subgroup of S_n by letting n to be a fixed point and C_n is the cyclic group of order n generated by the cycle $(12\cdots n)$. This result appears as an easy consequence of a general descent type theory which will be introduced below under the name of *bicrossed descent theory*, having as inspiration source the classical descent theory that goes back to Grothendieck [13], [18]. Let $\triangleright : C_n \times S_{n-1} \to S_{n-1}$ and $\triangleleft : C_n \times S_{n-1} \to C_n$ be the canonical actions that arise from the exact factorization $S_n = S_{n-1} C_n$ such that $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of groups is the sense of Takeuchi [28]: the actions $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ arise form the unique decomposition in S_n by the formula:

$$x\,\sigma = (x \triangleright \sigma)(x \triangleleft \sigma)$$

for any $x \in C_n$ and $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ (see Proposition 1.2 bellow for details). This canonical matched pair $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is uniquely determined for any positive integer n. A *descent map* of the matched pair $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a function $r : C_n \to S_{n-1}$ such that $r(1) = 1$ and

$$r\big((x \triangleleft r(y))\, y\big) = r(x)\, \big(x \triangleright r(y)\big)$$

for all x, $y \in C_n$. We denote by $\mathcal{DM}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ the set of all descent maps of the matched pair $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. For any descent map $r \in \mathcal{DM}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ we can define a new group, denoted by $(C_n)_r$, called an r-deformation of the cyclic group C_n: that is $(C_n)_r := C_n$, as a set, with the new multiplication given by

$$x \bullet y := \big(x \triangleleft r(y)\big)\, y$$

for any x, $y \in (C_n)_r$. The key step is the following: any group of order n is isomorphic to a group $(C_n)_r$, for some descent map $r \in \mathcal{DM}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))$. In order to indicate a formula for computing $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$ we need one more combinatorial step: two descent maps r, $R \in \mathcal{DM}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ are called *equivalent* and we denote this by $r \sim R$ if there exists $\sigma : C_n \to C_n$ a permutation on the set C_n such that $\sigma(1) = 1$ and

$$\sigma\big((x \triangleleft r(y))\, y\big) = \big(\sigma(x) \triangleleft R(\sigma(y))\big)\, \sigma(y)$$

for all x, $y \in C_n$. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{DM}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ and we denote by $\mathcal{D}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft)) := \mathcal{DM}\,(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))/\sim$ the quotient set through the relation $\sim$. The formula for $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{GR}\,(n) = |\,\mathcal{D}(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))\,|$$

In this way the problem of computing $\mathcal{GR}\,(n)$ is reduced to a purely combinatorial one in three steps: the first one is to describe explicitly the canonical matched pair $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. The second is a computational one: to describe all descent maps $r : C_n \to S_{n-1}$ for this matched pair. Finally, as the last step of the algorithm, the problem is reduced to classifying all r-deformations of the cyclic group C_n by computing the quotient set $\mathcal{D}(C_n, S_{n-1}\,|\,(\triangleright, \triangleleft))$.

As we mentioned above these results appear as special cases of a more general theory, called the bicrossed descent theory. First of all, in Section 1 we recall briefly the definition of a matched pair of groups and the construction of the bicrossed product of two groups as defined by Takeuchi [28]. Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. A *factorization A-form of* G is a subgroup $H \leq G$ such that G factorizes through A and H, that is $G = AH$ and $A \cap H = \{1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(A, G)$ the category of all factorization A-forms of G and by $\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A,G)$ its skeleton, that is the set of types of isomorphisms of all factorization A-forms of G. The factorization index $[G:A]^f := |\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A,G)|$ is a numerical measure of the bicrossed descent theory of exact factorizations which consists of the following two problems:

Existence of forms: *Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. Does there exist a factorization A-form of G, i.e. is the set $\mathcal{F}(A,G)$ nonempty?*

Description and classification of forms: *If a factorization A-form of G exists, describe and classify up to isomorphism all factorization A-forms of G.*

The theory of factorizations of groups was a very studied branch of group theory starting with the classical papers of Szép [25], [26], [26], Douglas [7] and Ito [16] but his roots go back to Maillet [22] and the 1900 Minkowski conjecture on *tiling* (another name for factorizations) proved 40 years later by Hajós [14]. The problem of existence of forms has to be treated "case by case" for every given subgroup A of G, a computational part of it can not be avoided. It was studied in its global form: *given a group G, find all (exact) factorizations of it.* Particular attention was given to finding all factorizations of simple groups. Starting with the 1970's a very rich literature on the subject was published: see for instance [4], [5], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [20], [23], [29], [30]. For more details on this problem we refer to the two fundamental monographs [19], [21] and the references therein.

In this paper we shall give the full answer for the second question, namely the description and classification of forms. Let H be a given factorization A-form of G and $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ the canonical left/right actions associated to the factorization $G = AH$ such that $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of groups. Then H is a factorization A-form of the bicrossed product $A \bowtie H$ associated to the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Theorem 2.5 is called the *deformation of forms*: let $r : H \to A$ be a descent map of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Then, the group H is deformed to a new group H_r, called the r-deformation of H such that H_r remains a factorization A-form of $A \bowtie H$. The key point is Theorem 2.6 called the *description of forms*: $\mathbb{H}$ is an A-form of G if and only if $\mathbb{H}$ is isomorphic to H_r, for some descent map $r : H \to A$ of the canonical matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Finally, the *classification of forms* is proven in Theorem 2.10: there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A,G)$ and a cohomological type object $\mathcal{D}\left(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft)\right)$. In particular, the factorization index is computed by the formula $[G:A]^f = |\,\mathcal{D}(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))\,|$. The extensions of factorization index 1 are those for which the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds: i.e. if H and H' are two factorization A-forms of G, then $H \cong H'$. The results mentioned at the beginning can be obtained as special cases of the three theorems mentioned above for the extension $S_{n-1} \leq S_n$ taking into account an elementary remark: any group H of order n is a S_{n-1}-form of S_n. At the end of the paper we provide some explicit examples.

The factorization problem as well as the bicrossed product were introduced and studied in other fields such as topological groups, local compact groups, Hopf algebras, groups and Lie algebras etc. The results presented here for groups can be used as a model for developing similar theories in the fields listed above. For Hopf algebras the problem was recently solved in [1].

1. Preliminaries

Let S_n be the symmetric group on n letters: S_{n-1} will be viewed as a subgroup of S_n by letting n to be a fixed point. We denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n: it will be viewed as a subgroup of S_n, being generated by the cycle $(12\cdots n)$.

Let G, G' be two groups containing A as a subgroup and let $i_A : A \hookrightarrow G$ be the canonical inclusion. We say that a morphism of groups $\psi : G \to G'$ *stabilizes* A if the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "i_A"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}_A"] & G \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
A \arrow[r, "i_A"] & G'
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, that is $\psi(a) = a$, for all $a \in A$. Let A and H be two groups and $\triangleright : H \times A \to A$ and $\triangleleft : H \times A \to H$ two maps. The map $\triangleright$ (resp. $\triangleleft$) is called trivial if $h \triangleright a = a$ (resp. $h \triangleleft a = h$), for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. A *matched pair* [28] of groups is a quadruple $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, where A and H are groups, $\triangleright : H \times A \to A$ is a left action of the group H on the set A, $\triangleleft : H \times A \to H$ is a right action of the group A on the set H satisfying the following compatibilities for any a, $b \in A$, h, $g \in H$:

$$h \triangleright (ab) = (h \triangleright a)((h \triangleleft a) \triangleright b) \tag{1}$$

$$(hg) \triangleleft a = (h \triangleleft (g \triangleright a))(g \triangleleft a) \tag{2}$$

If $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair then the following normalizing conditions hold:

$$1 \triangleright a = a, \quad h \triangleleft 1 = h, \quad h \triangleright 1 = 1, \quad 1 \triangleleft a = 1 \tag{3}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. Indeed, the last two normalizing conditions are obtained by taking $a = b = 1$ in (1) (resp. $h = g = 1$ in (1)).

Let A and H be groups and $\triangleright : H \times A \to A$, $\triangleleft : H \times A \to H$ two maps as above. Let $A \bowtie H := A \times H$ as a set with the binary operation defined by the formula:

$$(a,\, h) \cdot (b,\, g) := \big(a(h \triangleright b),\, (h \triangleleft b)g\big) \tag{4}$$

for all a, $b \in A$, h, $g \in H$. The following has an elementary proof: we refer to [28, Proposition 2.2.] or [17, Proposition IX.1.2] for details.

Proposition 1.1. *Let A and H be groups and $\triangleright : H \times A \to A$, $\triangleleft : H \times A \to H$ two maps. Then $A \bowtie H$ is a group with unit $(1, 1)$ if and only if $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of groups.*

In this case $A \bowtie H$ is called the bicrossed product of A and H.

If $A \bowtie H$ is a bicrossed product then $i_A : A \to A \bowtie H$, $i_A(a) = (a, 1)$ and $i_H : H \to A \bowtie H$, $i_H(h) = (1, h)$ are morphisms of groups. A and H will be viewed as subgroups of $A \bowtie H$ via the identifications $A \cong A \times \{1\}$, $H \cong \{1\} \times H$. If the right action $\triangleleft$ of a matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is the trivial action then the bicrossed product $A \bowtie H$ is just the semidirect product $A \ltimes H$ of A and H. Thus, the bicrossed product is a generalization of the semidirect product for the case when none of the factors is required to be normal.

Furthermore, if both actions $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ are the trivial actions, then $A \bowtie H = A \times H$, the direct product of A and H.

We recall that a group G *factorizes* through two subgroups A and H if $G = AH$ and $A \cap H = \{1\}$. All factorizations in this paper are considered to be exact, that is for any factorization $G = AH$ we also assume that $A \cap H = \{1\}$. Having in mind the abelian case a group G is called an *indecomposable group* if G does not admit an exact factorization of two proper subgroups. The quaternion group Q, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ for a prime integer p or the alternating group A_6 (see [30]) [1] are typical examples of indecomposable groups.

The bicrossed product $A \bowtie H$ factorizes through $A \cong A \times \{1\}$ and $H \cong \{1\} \times H$ as for any $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ we have that $(a, h) = (a, 1) \cdot (1, h)$. Conversely, the main motivation behind the definition of bicrossed product of groups is the following:

Proposition 1.2. *A group G factorizes through two subgroups A and H if and only if there exists a matched pair of groups $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ such that the multiplication map*

$$m_G : A \bowtie H \to G, \qquad m_G(a,\, h) = ah$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ is an isomorphism of groups. Furthermore, in this case the isomorphism of groups $m_G : A \bowtie H \to G$ stabilizes A.

Proof. The detailed proof is given in [28, Proposition 2.4] or [17, Proposition IX.1.2]. We only indicate the construction of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ associated to the exact factorization $G = AH$. Indeed, if G factorizes through A and H then, for any $g \in G$ there exists a unique pair $(a, h) \in A \times H$ such that $g = ah$. This allows us to attach to any pair $(a, h) \in A \times H$ a unique element $h \triangleright a \in A$ and a unique element $h \triangleleft a \in H$ such that

$$h\, a = (h \triangleright a)(h \triangleleft a) \tag{5}$$

Then $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of groups and $m_G : A \bowtie H \to G$ is an isomorphism of groups that stabilizes A. □

Remark 1.3. Let $A \leq G$ be a given subgroup of G. Then, a factorization $G = AH$ is not necessarily unique although the group G is a simple group: there may be other subgroups $H' \leq G$, not isomorphic to H, such that $G = AH'$. Such an example is presented below. Let k be a positive integer. In what follows we view A_{4k-1} as a subgroup of A_{4k} by letting $4k$ to be a fixed point in the alternating group A_{4k}. Then we have two factorizations: $A_{4k} = A_{4k-1}D_{4k} = A_{4k-1}(C_2 \times C_{2k})$, where D_{4k} is the dihedral group and C_m is the cyclic group of order m. Indeed, let σ, $\tau \in A_{4k}$ be the even permutations

$$\sigma = (1, 3, 5, \cdots, 4k-1)(2, 4, 6, \cdots, 4k)$$

$$\tau = (1, 2k+2)(2, 2k+1)(3, 2k+4)(4, 2k+3) \cdots (2k-1, 4k)(2k, 4k-1)$$

It is straightforward to check that σ and τ generate a subgroup of A_{4k} isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{4k} of order $4k$ and $A_{4k} = A_{4k-1}D_{4k}$. On the other hand, let σ', $\tau' \in A_{4k}$ given by

$$\sigma' = (1, 2, \cdots, 2k)(2k+1, 2k+2, \cdots, 4k)$$

---

[1] For an elementary proof of this fact see the first version of [2, Proposition 3.12], available only on arXiv at http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0703471v1.pdf.

$$\tau' = (1, 2k+1)(2, 2k+2)\cdots(2k, 4k)$$

Then $\sigma'\tau' = \tau'\sigma'$ and the subgroup of A_{4k} generated by σ and τ is $C_2 \times C_{2k}$. Moreover, we have $A_{4k} = A_{4k-1}(C_2 \times C_{2k})$.

This example reveals another important fact: a possible attempt to generalize the Krull-Schmidt decomposition of groups in direct products ([24, Theorem 6.36]) fails for bicrossed products since $A_{4k} = A_{4k-1} \bowtie D_{4k} \cong A_{4k-1} \bowtie (C_2 \times C_{2k})$, and of course the direct product $C_2 \times C_{2k}$ is not isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{4k}.

Form now on, the matched pair constructed in (5) will be called the *canonical matched pair* associated to the factorization $G = AH$. We use this notation in order to distinguish this matched pair among other possible matched pairs $(A, H, \triangleright', \triangleleft')$ such that $A \bowtie' H \cong G$ (isomorphism of groups that stabilize A), where $A \bowtie' H$ is the bicrossed product associated to the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright', \triangleleft')$. The following result gives more details: it can be obtained from [3, Proposition 2.1] for $\sigma = Id_H$. However, we state the result below for the sake of completeness since it will be used in the sequel.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and $(A, H', \triangleright', \triangleleft')$ be two matched pairs of groups. There exists a bijection between the set of all morphisms of groups $\psi : A \bowtie' H' \to A \bowtie H$ that stabilizes A and the set of all pairs (r, v), where $r : H' \to A$, $v : H' \to H$ are two unit preserving maps satisfying the following compatibilities for any h', $g' \in H'$, $a \in A$:*

$$\begin{aligned} h' \triangleright' a &= r(h')\big(v(h') \triangleright a\big)\, r(h' \triangleleft' a)^{-1} && (6)\\ v(h' \triangleleft' a) &= v(h') \triangleleft a && (7)\\ r(h'g') &= r(h')\big(v(h') \triangleright r(g')\big) && (8)\\ v(h'g') &= \big(v(h') \triangleleft r(g')\big)\, v(g') && (9) \end{aligned}$$

Under the above correspondence the morphism of groups $\psi : A \bowtie' H' \to A \bowtie H$ corresponding to (r, v) is given by:

$$\psi(a,\, h') = \big(a\, r(h'),\, v(h')\big) \tag{10}$$

for all $a \in A$, $h' \in H'$ and $\psi : A \bowtie' H' \to A \bowtie H$ is an isomorphism of groups if and only if the map $v : H' \to H$ is bijective.

2. Bicrossed descent theory

Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. We are going to study the small category of all subgroups H of G such that G factorizes through A and H. First we need to introduce the following:

Definition 2.1. Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. A *factorization A-form of G* is a subgroup $H \leq G$ such that G factorizes through A and H.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}(A, G)$ the small category of all factorization A-forms of G and by $\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A, G)$ its skeleton, i.e. $\mathcal{F}^{sk}(A, G)$ is the set of types of isomorphisms of all factorization A-forms of G. If $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair, then $H \cong \{1\} \times H$ is a factorization A-form of $A \bowtie H$, where we consider $A \cong A \times \{1\} \leq A \bowtie H$.

In the rest of the paper we shall give the complete answer to the problem concerning the description and classification of all forms. Let $H \in \mathcal{F}(A, G)$ be a given factorization A-form of G and $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ the canonical matched pair associated to it as in (5) of Proposition 1.2. We shall describe all factorization A-forms of G in terms of $(H, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$ and certain maps $r : H \to A$, called decent maps. More precisely, we will prove that any other form $\mathbb{H}$ will appear as a deformation of the group H via a descent map $r : H \to A$. The classification of all factorization A-forms of G is also given by proving that the skeleton of the category $\mathcal{F}(A, G)$ is in bijection to a cohomological type object. In order to prove these results we need to introduce a few more concepts:

Definition 2.2. Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. We define the *factorization index* of A in G as the cardinal of the set of types of isomorphisms of all factorization A-forms of G and will be denoted by $[G : A]^f$. Hence

$$[G : A]^f = |\, \mathcal{F}^{sk}(A, G)\, |$$

The extension $A \leq G$ is called *rigid* if $[G : A]^f = 1$. We shall write $[G : A]^f = 0$, if $\mathcal{F}(A, G)$ is empty.

Examples 2.3. 1. Most of the group extensions $A \leq G$ known in the literature have the factorization index $[G : A]^f$ equal to 0 (that is there exists no factorization $G = AH$) or 1. For instance, if G is an indecomposable group, then $[G : A]^f = 0$, for any proper subgroup $A < G$.

Group extensions $A \leq G$ of factorization index 1 are exactly those for which the factorization is unique. There are plenty of such examples in the theory of factorizations of finite simple groups: see for instance the tables in [11], [19], [21] that classify all factorizations of a given simple group G. In other words, rigid extensions are those for which the Krull-Schmidt theorem [24, Theorem 6.36] for bicrossed products holds: if $G \cong A \bowtie H \cong A \bowtie H'$, then $H \cong H'$. A generic example of an extension of factorization index 1 is provided in Corollary 2.8 below: if $A \ltimes H$ is an arbitrary semidirect product of A and H, then the factorization index $[A \ltimes H : A]^f = 1$.

2. Examples of extensions G/A for which $[G : A]^f \geq 2$ are quite rare, which makes them tempting to identify. Remark 1.3 proves in fact that $[A_{4k} : A_{4k-1}]^f \geq 2$. We provide below two examples of extensions of factorization index 2 and 3.

The extension $S_3 \leq S_4$ has factorization index 2. Indeed, let $C_4 =< (1234) >$ be the cyclic group of order 4 and $C_2 \times C_2$ the Klein's group viewed as a subgroup of S_4 being generated by (12)(34) and (13)(24). Then S_4 has two factorizations: $S_4 = S_3 C_4 = S_3(C_2 \times C_2)$. Since there are no other groups of order four we obtain that $[S_4 : S_3]^f = 2$.

3. An example of extension $A \leq G$ of factorization index 3 was kindly provided to us by C. Praeger. Let $A = A_9$ and G be the group of Lie type $G = \Omega_8^+(2)$. It was proven in [21, Chapter 16, pg.90], using Magma, that G has precisely three non-isomorphic factorizations. Thus $[\Omega_8^+(2) : A_9]^f = 3$.

4. Example (2) above can be generalized to a broader case and it was communicated to us by M. Giudici. More precisely, the factorization index $[S_n : S_{n-1}]^f = \mathcal{GR}\,(n)$, the number of types of isomorphisms of groups of order n.

Indeed, let S_n be the symmetric group and $A \cong S_{n-1}$ the stabilizer of a point. Then we have an exact factorization $S_n = AH$, for a regular subgroup $H \leq S_n$ of order n. Since any group H of order n acts transitively on itself by right multiplication it embeds in S_n as a transitive subgroup and $S_n = S_{n-1}H$, where S_{n-1} is any copy of S_{n-1} in S_n as the stabilizer of a point.

Definition 2.4. Let $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be a matched pair of groups. A *descent map* of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is a function $r : H \to A$ such that $r(1) = 1$ and

$$r\big(\big(h \triangleleft r(g)\big)\, g\,\big) = r(h)\, \big(h \triangleright r(g)\big) \tag{11}$$

for all g, $h \in H$.

We denote by $\mathcal{DM}\,(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ the set of all descent maps of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. The trivial map $H \to A$, $h \mapsto 1$, for any $h \in H$ is a descent map. If both actions $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ of the matched pair are the trivial actions then a descent map is just a morphism of groups $r : H \to A$. The following result is called the deformation of forms: it shows that any factorization A-form can be deformed to a new factorization A-form using a descent map $r : H \to A$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be a matched pair of groups and $r : H \to A$ a descent map. The following hold:*

(1) *Let $H_r := H$, as a set, with the new multiplication $\bullet$ on H defined for any h, $g \in H$ as follows:*

$$h \bullet g := \big(h \triangleleft r(g)\big)\, g \tag{12}$$

Then $(H_r, \bullet)$ is a group called the r-deformation of H.

(2) *The map*

$$\triangleright^r : H_r \times A \to A, \quad h \triangleright^r a := r(h)\, \big(h \triangleright a\big)\, r(h \triangleleft a)^{-1} \tag{13}$$

for all $h \in H_r$, $a \in A$ is a left action of the group H_r on the set A and $(A, H_r, \triangleright^r, \triangleleft)$ is a matched pair of groups. Furthermore, the map

$$\psi : A \bowtie^r H_r \to A \bowtie H, \quad \psi(a,\, h) = (a\, r(h),\, h) \tag{14}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ is an isomorphism of groups, where $A \bowtie^r H_r$ is the bicrossed product associated to the matched pair $(A, H_r, \triangleright^r, \triangleleft)$.

(3) *H_r is a factorization A-form of $A \bowtie H$.*

Proof. (1) Using the normalizing conditions (3) and the fact that $r : H \to A$ is a unitary map, 1 remains the unit for the new multiplication $\bullet$ given by (12). On the other hand for any $h,\, g,\, t \in H$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \bullet g) \bullet t &= \big[\big(h \triangleleft r(g)\big)g\big] \bullet t\\
&= \Big(\underline{\big((h \triangleleft r(g))g\big) \triangleleft r(t)}\Big)\, t\\
&\overset{(2)}{=} \Big(\underline{\big(h \triangleleft r(g)\big) \triangleleft \big(g \triangleright r(t)\big)}\Big)\big(g \triangleleft r(t)\big)\, t\\
&= \Big(h \triangleleft \big(\underline{r(g)(g \triangleright r(t))}\big)\Big)\big(g \triangleleft r(t)\big)\, t\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \Big(h \triangleleft r\big((g \triangleleft r(t))\, t\big)\Big)\, \big(g \triangleleft r(t)\big)\, t\\
&= h \bullet \big[\big(g \triangleleft r(t)\big)\, t\big]\\
&= h \bullet (g \bullet t)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the multiplication $\bullet$ is associative and has 1 as a unit. We prove now that the inverse of an element $h \in H_r$ is given by $h^{-1} = h^{-1} \triangleleft r(h)^{-1}$, for all $h \in H$. Indeed, for any $h \in H$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{-1} \bullet h &= \big(h^{-1} \triangleleft r(h)^{-1}\big) \bullet h\\
&= \Big(\big(h^{-1} \triangleleft r(h)^{-1}\big) \triangleleft r(h)\Big)\, h\\
&= \Big(h^{-1} \triangleleft \big(r(h)^{-1} r(h)\big)\Big)\, h\\
&= h^{-1}\, h = 1
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved that $(H_r, \bullet)$ is a monoid in which every element has a left inverse. Hence $(H_r, \bullet)$ is a group.

(2) Instead of using a rather long computation to prove that $(A, H_r, \triangleright^r, \triangleleft)$ satisfy the axioms (1)-(2) of a matched pair we proceed as follows: first, observe that the map $\psi : A \times H_r \to A \bowtie H$, $\psi(a,\, h) = (a\, r(h),\, h)$ is a bijection between the set $A \times H_r$ and the group $A \bowtie H$ with the inverse given by

$$\psi^{-1} : A \bowtie H \to A \times H_r, \quad \psi^{-1}(a,\, h) = (a\, r(h)^{-1},\, h)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. Thus, there exists a unique group structure $\diamond$ on the set $A \times H_r$ such that ψ becomes an isomorphism of groups and this unique group structure $\diamond$ is obtained by transferring the group structure from the group $A \bowtie H$ via the bijection of sets ψ, i.e. is given by:

$$(a,\, h) \diamond (b,\, g) := \psi^{-1}\big(\psi(a,\, h) \cdot \psi(b,\, g)\big)$$

for all $a,\, b \in A$ and $h,\, g \in H_r = H$. If we prove that this group structure $\diamond$ on the direct product of sets $A \times H_r$ is exactly the one given by (4) associated to the pair of maps $(\triangleright^r, \triangleleft)$ the proof is finished by using Proposition 1.1. Indeed, for any $a,\, b \in A$ and g,

$h \in H$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a,\, h) \diamond (b,\, g) &= \psi^{-1}\big(\psi(a,\, h)\, \cdot\, \psi(b,\, g)\big) = \psi^{-1}\Big(\big(a\, r(h),\, h\big) \cdot \big(b\, r(g),\, g\big)\Big)\\
&= \psi^{-1}\Big(a\, r(h)\big(h \triangleright br(g)\big),\, \big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g\Big)\\
&= \Big(a\, r(h)\big(h \triangleright br(g)\big) r\Big(\big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g\Big)^{-1},\, \big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g\Big)\\
&= \Big(a\, r(h)\big(h \triangleright br(g)\big) \underline{r\Big(\big((h \triangleleft b) \triangleleft r(g)\big)\, g\Big)^{-1}},\, \big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g\Big)\\
&\overset{(11)}{=} \Big(a\, r(h)\big(\underline{h \triangleright br(g)}\big) \Big[r\big(h \triangleleft b\big)\Big(\big(h \triangleleft b\big) \triangleright r(g)\Big)\Big]^{-1},\, \big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g\Big)\\
&\overset{(1)}{=} \Big(a\, r(h)\big(h \triangleright b\big)\underline{\Big(\big(h \triangleleft b\big) \triangleright r(g)\Big)\Big(\big(h \triangleleft b\big) \triangleright r(g)\Big)^{-1}} r\big(h \triangleleft b\big)^{-1},\\
&\qquad \big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g\Big)\\
&= \Big(a\, r(h)\big(h \triangleright b\big) r\big(h \triangleleft b\big)^{-1},\, \underline{\big(h \triangleleft br(g)\big)\, g}\Big)\\
&\overset{(12)}{=} \Big(\underline{a\, r(h)\big(h \triangleright b\big) r\big(h \triangleleft b\big)^{-1}},\, \big(h \triangleleft b\big) \bullet g\Big)\\
&\overset{(13)}{=} \Big(a\, (h \triangleright^{r} b),\, (h \triangleleft b) \bullet g\Big) = (a,\, h) \cdot^{r} (b,\, g)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\cdot^r$ is the multiplication given by (4) associated to the new pair of maps $(\triangleright^r, \triangleleft)$. Now we apply Proposition 1.1.

(3) First we remark that the isomorphism of groups $\psi : A \bowtie^r H_r \to A \bowtie H$ given by (14) stabilizes A. Hence $A \cong \psi(A) = A \times \{1\} \leq A \bowtie H$ and $H_r \cong \psi(\{1\} \times H_r) = \{(r(h), h) \,|\, h \in H\}$ is a subgroup of $A \bowtie H$. Now, $A \bowtie H$ factorizes through A and H_r since in $A \bowtie H$ we have:

$$(a, h) = (ar(h)^{-1}, 1) \cdot (r(h), h)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. Of course, $A \times \{1\}$ and $\{(r(h), h) \,|\, h \in H\} \cong H_r$ have trivial intersection in $A \bowtie H$ as r is a unitary map. The proof is now completely finished. □

Theorem 2.5 shows that if H is a given factorization A-form of a group G, then any r-deformation H_r remains a factorization A-form of G. Now we prove the converse of this theorem which gives the description of all factorization A-forms of G in terms of a fixed one H.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G and H a given factorization A-form of G. Then $\mathbb{H}$ is a factorization A-form of G if and only if there exists an isomorphism of groups $\mathbb{H} \cong H_r$, for some descent map $r : H \to A$ of the canonical matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ associated to the factorization $G = AH$.*

Proof. Let $A \bowtie H$ be the bicrossed product of the canonical matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ associated to the factorization $G = AH$. Then the multiplication map $m_G : A \bowtie H \to G$ is an isomorphism of groups that stabilize A. Consider $(A, \mathbb{H}, \triangleright', \triangleleft')$ to be the canonical matched pair associated to the factorization $G = A\mathbb{H}$; hence the multiplication map

$m'_G : A \bowtie' \mathbb{H} \to G$ is also an isomorphism of groups that stabilize A. Then $\psi := m_G^{-1} \circ m'_G : A \bowtie' \mathbb{H} \to A \bowtie H$ is a group isomorphism that stabilizes A as a composition of such morphisms. Now by applying Proposition 1.4 it follows that ψ is uniquely determined by a pair of maps $(\overline{r}, \overline{v})$ consisting of a unitary map $\overline{r} : \mathbb{H} \to A$ and a unitary bijective map $\overline{v} : \mathbb{H} \to H$ satisfying the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} h' \triangleright' a &= \overline{r}(h')\,\big(\overline{v}(h') \triangleright a\big)\,\overline{r}(h' \triangleleft' a)^{-1} && (15)\\ \overline{v}(h' \triangleleft' a) &= \overline{v}(h') \triangleleft a && (16)\\ \overline{r}(h'g') &= \overline{r}(h')\,\big(\overline{v}(h') \triangleright \overline{r}(g')\big) && (17)\\ \overline{v}(h'g') &= \big(\overline{v}(h') \triangleleft \overline{r}(g')\big)\,\overline{v}(g') && (18) \end{aligned}$$

for all h', $g' \in \mathbb{H}$ and $a \in A$. Moreover, $\psi : A \bowtie' \mathbb{H} \to A \bowtie H$ is given by:

$$\psi(a,\, h') = (a\,\overline{r}(h'),\, \overline{v}(h'))$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h' \in \mathbb{H}$. We define now

$$r : H \to A, \quad r := \overline{r} \circ \overline{v}^{-1}$$

We prove that r is a descent map of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ and $\overline{v} : \mathbb{H} \to H_r$ is an isomorphism of group.

First, notice that r is unitary as $\overline{r}$, $\overline{v}$ are both unitary. We have to show that the compatibility condition (11) holds for r. Indeed, from (17) and (18) we obtain:

$$\overline{r} \circ \overline{v}^{-1}\big[\,\big(\overline{v}(h') \triangleleft \overline{r}(g')\big)\,\overline{v}(g')\,\big] = \overline{r}(h')\big(\overline{v}(h') \triangleright \overline{r}(g')\big) \tag{19}$$

for all h', $g' \in \mathbb{H}$. Let h, $g \in H$ and write the compatibility condition (19) for $h' = \overline{v}^{-1}(h)$ and $g' = \overline{v}^{-1}(g)$. We obtain

$$r\Big(\big(h \triangleleft r(g)\big)\,g\Big) = r(h)\,\big(h \triangleright r(g)\big)$$

that is (11) holds and hence $r : H \to A$ is a descent map. Finally, $\overline{v} : \mathbb{H} \to H_r$ is a bijective map as $H = H_r$ as sets. Hence, we are left to prove that $\overline{v}$ is also a morphism of groups. Indeed, for any h', $g' \in \mathbb{H}$ we have:

$$\overline{v}(h'g') \overset{(18)}{=} \big(\overline{v}(h') \triangleleft \overline{r}(g')\big)\,\overline{v}(g') \overset{(12)}{=} \overline{v}(h') \bullet \overline{v}(g')$$

where $\bullet$ the multiplication on H_r as defined by (12). Hence $\overline{v} : \mathbb{H} \to H_r$ is an isomorphism of groups and the proof is finished. □

Remark 2.7. Assume that in Theorem 2.5 the descent map $r : H \to A$ is the trivial one or the right action $\triangleleft$ is the trivial action of A on H. Then $H_r = H$ as groups. In general, the new group H_r may not be isomorphic to H as groups. Example 2.12 shows how the Klein's group $C_2 \times C_2$ can be constructed as an r-deformation of the cyclic group C_4, for some descent map $r : C_4 \to S_3$.

On the other hand, there are also examples of non-trivial descent maps, with $\triangleleft$ a non-trivial action, such that H_r is a group isomorphic to H. Such an example is provided in Example 2.14.

Corollary 2.8. *Let A and H be two groups, $A \ltimes H$ an arbitrary semidirect product of A and H. Then the factorization index $[A \ltimes H : A]^f = 1$.*

In particular, the following Krull-Schmidt type theorem for bicrossed product holds: if $A \ltimes H \cong A \bowtie H'$ (isomorphism of groups that stabilizes A), then the groups H' and H are isomorphic, where $A \bowtie H'$ is an arbitrary bicrossed product.

Proof. Indeed, $H \cong \{1\} \times H$ is a factorization A-form of the semidirect product $A \ltimes H$. Moreover, the right action $\triangleleft$ of the canonical matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ constructed in (5) for the factorization $A \ltimes H = (A \times \{1\})(\{1\} \times H)$ is the trivial action. Thus, using Remark 2.7, any r-deformation of $H \cong \{1\} \times H$ coincides with H. The rest follows from Theorem 2.6. □

In order to classify forms we need one more definition:

Definition 2.9. Let $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be a matched pair of groups. Two descent maps r, $R : H \to A$ are called *equivalent* and we denote this by $r \sim R$ if there exists $\sigma : H \to H$ a permutation on the set H such that $\sigma(1_H) = 1_H$ and

$$\sigma\big((h \triangleleft r(g))\, g\big) = \big(\sigma(h) \triangleleft R(\sigma(g))\big)\, \sigma(g) \tag{20}$$

for all g, $h \in H$.

As a conclusion of all the above results, our main theorem which gives the classification of all factorization A-forms of a group G now follows.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $A \leq G$ be a subgroup of G, H a given factorization A-form of G and $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ the associated canonical matched pair. Then:*

(1) *$\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{DM}(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ and the map*

$$\mathcal{D}\left(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft)\right) \to \mathcal{F}^{sk}\left(A, G\right), \quad \overline{r} \mapsto H_r$$

is a bijection between sets, where $\mathcal{D}\left(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft)\right) := \mathcal{DM}\left(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft)\right)/\sim$ is the quotient set through the relation $\sim$ and $\overline{r}$ is the equivalence class of r via $\sim$.

(2) *The factorization index $[G : A]^f$ is computed by the formula:*

$$[G : A]^f = \left|\mathcal{D}\left(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft)\right)\right|$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that if $\mathbb{H}$ is an arbitrary factorization A-form of G, then there exists an isomorphism of groups $\mathbb{H} \cong H_r$, for some descent map $r : H \to A$ of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Thus, in order to classify all factorization A-forms on G we can consider only r-deformations of H, for various descent maps $r : H \to A$.

Now let r, $R : H \to A$ be two descent maps of the matched pair $(A, H, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. As H_r and H_R coincide as sets, we obtain that the groups H_r and H_R are isomorphic if and only if there exists $\sigma : H \to H$ a unitary bijective map such that $\sigma : H_r \to H_R$ is a morphism of groups. Taking into account the definition of the multiplication on H_r given by (12) we obtain that σ is a group morphism if and only if the compatibility condition (20) of Definition 2.9 holds, i.e. $r \sim R$. Hence, $r \sim R$ if and only if there exists a map σ such that $\sigma : H_r \to H_R$ is an isomorphism of groups. Thus we obtain that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{DM}(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))$ and the map

$$\mathcal{D}\,(H, A \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft)) \to \mathcal{F}^{sk}(A, E), \qquad \overline{r} \mapsto H_r$$

is well defined and a bijection between sets, where $\overline{r}$ is the equivalence class of r via the relation $\sim$. This finishes the proof since (2) follows from (1). □

The main consequence of the bicrossed descent theory is the following:

Corollary 2.11. *Let n be a positive integer and $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ the canonical matched pair associated to the factorization $S_n = S_{n-1}C_n$. Then:*

(1) *Any group H of order n is isomorphic to a r-deformation of the cyclic group C_n, for some descent map $r : C_n \to S_{n-1}$ of the canonical matched pair $(S_{n-1}, C_n, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$.*

(2) *The number of types of isomorphisms of all groups of order n is equal to*

$$|\,\mathcal{D}(C_n, S_{n-1} \,|\, (\triangleright, \triangleleft))\,|$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.10 taking into account that any group H of order n is a S_{n-1}-form of S_n according to (4) of Example 2.3. □

Now we provide some explicit examples for the above results.

Example 2.12. Consider the extension $S_3 \leq S_4$ of factorization index 2 from Example 2.3. We fix C_4 as a S_3-form of S_4. First we shall describe the canonical matched pair $(S_3, C_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ associated to the factorization $S_4 = S_3C_4$. Then we prove that there exists only two descent maps $r : C_4 \to S_3$ for the matched pair $(S_3, C_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Finally, we show how the Klein's group is written as an r-deformation the cyclic group C_4.

Below we shall view C_4 and $C_2 \times C_2$ as subgroups of S_4 as follows: $C_4 = \langle c = (1234) \mid c^4 = 1\rangle$ and $C_2 \times C_2 = \langle a = (12)(34),\, b = (13)(24) \mid a^2 = b^2 = 1,\, ab = ba\rangle$. We also use the description of S_3 as: $S_3 = \langle t = (123),\, s = (12) \mid t^3 = s^2 = 1,\, ts = st^2\rangle$. Using these notations, a straightforward computation proves that the canonical matched pair $(S_3, C_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ associated as in Proposition 1.2 to the factorization $S_4 = S_3C_4$ is given by:

$\triangleright$	1	s	t	t^2	st	st^2
1	1	s	t	t^2	st	st^2
c	1	st	s	t	t^2	st^2
c^2	1	t^2	st	s	t	st^2
c^3	1	t	t^2	st	s	st^2

$\triangleleft$	1	s	t	t^2	st	st^2
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
c	c	c	c^2	c^3	c^2	c^3
c^2	c^2	c^3	c^3	c	c	c^2
c^3	c^3	c^2	c	c^2	c^3	c

By a rather long but straightforward computation one can prove that there are two descent maps for the canonical matched pair $(S_3, C_4, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$: namely the trivial one $r' : C_4 \to S_3$, $r'(x) = 1$, for any $x \in C_4$ and the map given by

$$r : C_4 \to S_3, \qquad r(1) = r(c^2) = 1, \qquad r(c) = r(c^3) = st^2$$

Now, the multiplication $\bullet$ on $(C_4)_r$ given by (12) takes the following form:

$\bullet$	1	c	c^2	c^3
1	1	c	c^2	c^3
c	c	1	c^3	c^2
c^2	c^2	c^3	1	c
c^3	c^3	c^2	c	1

Thus, the map given by:

$$\varphi : C_2 \times C_2 \to (C_4)_r, \quad \varphi(1) = 1, \qquad \varphi(a) = c, \qquad \varphi(b) = c^2, \qquad \varphi(ab) = c^3$$

is an isomorphism of groups, that is $C_2 \times C_2 \cong (C_4)_r$.

Corollary 2.11 proves that any finite group of order n is isomorphic to a r-deformation of the cyclic group C_n, for some descent map $r : C_n \to S_{n-1}$ of the canonical matched pair associated to the factorization $S_n = S_{n-1}C_n$. The next example shows how the symmetric group S_3 appears as a r-deformation of the cyclic group C_6 arising from a given matched pair $(C_3, C_6, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$.

Example 2.13. In what follows we denote by $C_3 = \langle a \mid a^3 = 1\rangle$ and $C_6 = \langle b \mid b^6 = 1\rangle$ the cyclic group of order 3 respectively 6. As a special case of [3, Proposition 4.2] we have a matched pair $(C_3, C_6, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, where the actions $(\triangleright, \triangleleft)$ are given by:

$\triangleright$	1	a	a^2
1	1	a	a^2
b	1	a^2	a
b^2	1	a	a^2
b^3	1	a^2	a
b^4	1	a	a^2
b^5	1	a^2	a

$\triangleleft$	1	a	a^2
1	1	1	1
b	b	b^3	b^5
b^2	b^2	b^2	b^2
b^3	b^3	b^5	b
b^4	b^4	b^4	b^4
b^5	b^5	b	b^3

Now, by a rather long but straightforward computation it can be seen that the map

$$r : C_6 \to C_3, \qquad r(1) = r(b^3) = 1, \qquad r(b) = r(b^4) = a^2, \qquad r(b^2) = r(b^5) = a$$

is a descent map of the matched pair $(C_3, C_6, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Furthermore, the multiplication $\bullet$ on $(C_6)_r$ given by (12) takes the form:

$\bullet$	1	b	b^2	b^3	b^4	b^5
1	1	b	b^2	b^3	b^4	b^5
b	b	1	b^5	b^4	b^3	b^2
b^2	b^2	b^3	b^4	b^5	1	b
b^3	b^3	b^2	b	1	b^5	b^4
b^4	b^4	b^5	1	b	b^2	b^3
b^5	b^5	b^4	b^3	b^2	b	1

Thus, the map

$$\varphi : S_3 \to (C_6)_r, \quad \varphi(1) = 1, \, \varphi(s) = b, \, \varphi(r) = b^2, \varphi(r^2) = b^4, \, \varphi(sr) = b^5, \, \varphi(sr^2) = b^3$$

is an isomorphism of groups and hence S_3 is an r-deformation of the cyclic group C_6.

Our last example provides a non-trivial descent map $r : H \to A$ such that $H_r \cong H$.

Example 2.14. Let $(C_3, C_6, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ be the matched pair of Example 2.13. Then the map

$$R : C_6 \to C_3, \quad R(1) = R(b^2) = R(b^4) = 1, \qquad R(b) = R(b^3) = R(b^5) = a$$

is also a descent map of $(C_3, C_6, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$. Then, one can check by a straightforward computation that $(C_6)_R$ is a group isomorphic to C_6.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Cheryl Praeger for (3) of Example 2.3 and Michael Giudici for (4) of Example 2.3.

REFERENCES

[1] Agore, A.L., Bontea, C.G. and Militaru, G. - Classifying bicrossed products. Deformations and descent type theory for quantum groups, 73 pages, preprint 2012.

[2] Agore, A.L., Chirvasitu, A., Ion, B., Militaru, G. - Bicrossed products for finite groups. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **12** (2009), 481-488

[3] Agore, A.L., Militaru, G. - Schreier type theorems for bicrossed product, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, **10**(2012), 722-739

[4] Arad, Z. and Fisman, E. - On finite factorizable groups, *J. Algebra* **86**(1984), 522-548.

[5] Božović, V. and Nicola, P. - On group factorizations using free mappings, *J. Algebra Appl.* **7** (2008), 647-662.

[6] Cohn, P.M. - A remark on the general product of two infinite Cyclic groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **7**(1956), 94–99.

[7] Douglas, J. - On finite groups with two independent generators. I, II, III, IV. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **37** (1951), 604–610, 677–691, 749–760, 808–813.

[8] Fisman, E. - On the product of two finite solvable groups. *J. Algebra* **80**(1983), 517-536.

[9] Fisman, E. and Arad, Z. - A proof of Szep's conjecture on nonsimplicity of certain finite groups, *J. Algebra* **108** (1987), 340-354.

[10] Gentchev, Ts. R. - Factorizations of the sporadic simple groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **47**(1986), 97-102.

[11] Giudici, M. - Factorisations of sporadic simple groups, *J. Algebra* **304** (2006), 311–323.

[12] Gorenstein, D. and Herstein, I. N. - On the structure of certain factorizable groups. II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1960) 214-219.

[13] Grothendieck, A. - Technique de descente I, Sèminarie Bourbaki, **190** (1959-1960).

[14] Hajós, G. - Ober einfache und mehrfache Bedeckung des n-dimensionalen Raumes mit einem Wurfelgitter, *Math Z.*, **47**(1941), 427–467.

[15] Hölder, O. - Bildung zusammengesetzter Gruppen, *Math. Ann.* **46**(1895), 321–422.

[16] Ito, N. - Über das Produkt von zwei abelschen Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **62** (1955), 400–401.

[17] Kassel, C. - Quantum groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[18] Knus, M. A. and Ojanguren, M., - Théorie de la descente et algèbres d'Azumaya, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **389**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

[19] M.W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl - The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86** (1990), no. 432.

[20] M.W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl - On factorizations of almost simple groups, *J. Algebra* **185**(1996), 409-419.

[21] M.W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl - Regular subgroups of primitive permutation groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **203** (2010), no. 952.

[22] Maillet, E. - Sur les groupes échangeables et les groupes décomposables. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **28** (1900), 7–16.

[23] Praeger, C. E. and Schneider, C. - Factorisations of characteristically simple groups, *J. Algebra* **255** (2002), 198 - 220.

[24] Rotman, J.J. - An introduction to the theory of groups. Fourth edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 148. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[25] Szép - Über die als Produkt zweier Untergruppen darstellbaren endlichen Gruppen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **22**(1949), 31-33.

[26] Szép, J.; Rédei, L. - On factorisable groups, *Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math.* **13**(1950), 235-238.

[27] Szép, J. - Zur Theorie der endlichen einfachen Gruppen, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **14**(1951), 111-112.

[28] Takeuchi, M. - Matched pairs of groups and bismash products of Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **9** (1981), no. 8, 841–882.

[29] Walls, G. L.- Groups which are products of finite simple groups. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **50**(1988), 1-4.

[30] Wiegold, J. and Williamson, A. G. - The factorisation of the alternating and symmetric groups. *Math. Z.* **175** (1980), no. 2, 171–179.

Faculty of Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

E-mail address: `ana.agore@vub.ac.be and ana.agore@gmail.com`

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, RO-010014 Bucharest 1, Romania

E-mail address: `gigel.militaru@fmi.unibuc.ro and gigel.militaru@gmail.com`